

Date: November 3, 1998Case Docket No. MIT 6917 (CMCC 450) DIVExpress Mail No. EM 500 208 857 US

11/03/98
 11/03/98
 U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
 Washington, D.C. 20231

Submitted herewith for filing is the patent application of
 Inventors: Joseph P. Vacanti, Christopher K. Breuer, Beverly E. Chaignaud, Toshiraru Shin'oka
 For: ENGINEERING OF STRONG, PLIABLE TISSUES
 Enclosed are:

☐ _____ sheets of informal drawings.

☒ An Assignment of the invention to Children's Medical Center Corporation (photocopy)

☐ A certified copy of a _____ application.

☒ An unexecuted Declaration and an executed Declaration (photocopy).

☒ A verified statement to establish small entity status under 37 CFR 1.9 and 37 CFR 1.27 (photocopy)

☒ A request for Filing a Divisional Application under 37 CFR §1.53(b).

The filing fee has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1)	(Col. 2)
FOR:	NO. FILED	NO. EXTRA
BASIC FEE		
TOTAL CLAIMS	7 -20 =	0
INDEP CLAIMS	1 -3 =	0
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENTED		

* If the difference in Col. 1 is less than zero, enter "0" in Col. 2.

☐ Please charge my Deposit Account No. 01-2507 in the amount of \$ _____. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

☒ A check in the amount of \$395.00 is enclosed.

☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 01-2507. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

☒ Any additional filing fees required under 37 CFR 1.16.

☒ Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17.

☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees during the pendency of this application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 01-2507. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

☒ Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17.

The issue fee set in 37 CFR 1.18 at or before mailing of the Notice of Allowance, pursuant to 37 CFR 1.311(b).

☒ Any filing fees under 37 CFR 1.16 for the presentation of extra claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Patrea L. Paost, Reg. No. 31,284

jc542 U.S. PTO
 09/185360
 11/03/98

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Joseph P. Vacanti, Christopher K. Breuer, Beverly E. Chaignaud,
and Toshiraru Shin'oka

Serial No.: Divisional of 08/445,280

Express Mail No.:
EM 500 208 857 US

Filed: November 3, 1998

Date of Deposit:
November 3, 1998

For: *ENGINEERING OF STRONG, PLIABLE TISSUES*

BOX PATENT APPLICATION
Assistant Commissioner of Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

**REQUEST FOR FILING A
DIVISIONAL APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b)**

Sir:

This is a request for the filing of a divisional application under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b) of pending prior application Serial No. 08/445,280, filed on May 19, 1995, by Joseph P. Vacanti, Christopher K. Breuer, Beverly E. Chaignaud, and Toshiraru Shin'oka for "*Engineering of Strong, Pliable Tissues*."

The application includes 1 page of Abstract, 20 pages of Specification, 2 pages of claims, an unexecuted Declaration of Inventorship, and a subsequently executed Declaration of Inventorship.

Also enclosed are copies of the Assignment to Children's Medical Center Corporation submitted for recordation on July 18, 1995; the Assignment recordation form cover sheet; the

Divisional of Serial No. 08/445,280
Filed: November 3, 1998
REQUEST FOR FILING A DIVISIONAL
APPLICATION UNDER C.F.R. §1.53(b)

Certificate Under 37 C.F.R. 3.73(b); Verified Statement Claiming Small Entity Status filed in the prior application and the returned postcard indicating receipt of these documents by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on July 20, 1995. A Notice of Recordation of Assignment was not received.

The power of attorney in the prior application is to Patrea L. Pabst.

The inventorship for the claims in the divisional application is the same as in the prior application.

A check in the amount of \$395.00 is enclosed to cover the filing fee for the divisional application. It is believed that this is the proper filing fee since the divisional application will include 1 independent claim and a total of 7 claims after entry of the enclosed preliminary Amendment.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 01-2507. To facilitate this process, applicants have enclosed a copy of this Request.

This application is being filed on November 3, 1998, by mailing the application to Box Patent Application, Commissioner for Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 via the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Service under 37 C.F.R. § 1.10. The Express Mail Label No. EM 500 208 857 US appears in the heading of this paper, which is attached to the application, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.10(b).

I hereby declare further that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true

Divisional of Serial No. 08/445,280
Filed: November 3, 1998
REQUEST FOR FILING A DIVISIONAL
APPLICATION UNDER C.F.R. §1.53(b)

and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Respectfully submitted,



Patrea L. Pabst
Reg. No. 31,284

Date: November 3, 1998
ARNALL GOLDEN & GREGORY, LLP
2800 One Atlantic Center
1201 West Peachtree Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3450
(404) 873-8794
(404) 873-8795 (fax)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Joseph P. Vacanti, Christopher K. Breuer, Beverly E. Chaignaud
and Toshiraru Shin'oka

Serial No.: Divisional of 08/445,280

Express Mail No.:
EM 500 208 857 US

Filed: November 3, 1998

Date of Deposit:
November 3, 1998

For: *ENGINEERING OF STRONG, PLIABLE TISSUES*

BOX PATENT APPLICATION
Assistant Commissioner of Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Sir:

Please amend the above identified application as follows. An appendix showing the claims as amended follows this amendment.

In The Specification

At page 1, below the title, please insert:

--RELATED APPLICATIONS

This is a divisional of U.S. Serial No. 08/445,280 filed May 19, 1995.--

In the Claims

Please cancel claims 1-5 and 13-16.

Please amend claim 6 as follows:


6. (Amended) A method for making a cell-matrix construct comprising
implanting into an animal at a first site a fibrous matrix having sufficient interstitial
spacing between fibers to allow tissue ingrowth after implantation of the matrix, wherein the
matrix is formed of a biocompatible, biodegradable [synthetic] polymer,
removing the implanted matrix after a period of time sufficient to allow ingrowth of
fibrous tissue or blood vessels into the matrix, and
implanting into an animal or human the matrix at a second site where the resulting cell-
construct is needed.

Remarks

Claims 1-5 and 13-16 were cancelled. Claims 6-12 were the subject of a restriction
requirement in the parent application.

Consideration of claims 6-12 on the merits is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Patrea L. Pabst
Reg. No. 31,284

Date: November 3, 1998
ARNALL GOLDEN & GREGORY, LLP
2800 One Atlantic Center
1201 West Peachtree Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3450
(404) 873-8794
(404) 873-8795 (fax)

ENGINEERING OF STRONG, PLIABLE TISSUES

Background of the Invention

This invention is generally in the field of reconstruction and augmentation of flexible, strong connective tissue such as arteries and heart valves.

Tissue engineering is a multidisciplinary science that utilizes basic principles from the life sciences and engineering sciences to create cellular constructs for transplantation. The first attempts to culture cells on a matrix for use as artificial skin, which requires formation of a thin three dimensional structure, were described by Yannas and Bell (See, for example, U.S. Patent Nos. 4,060,081, 4,485,097 and 4,458,678). They used collagen type structures which were seeded with cells, then placed over the denuded area. A problem with the use of the collagen matrices was that the rate of degradation is not well controlled. Another problem was that cells implanted into the interior of thick pieces of the collagen matrix failed to survive.

U.S. Patent No. 4,520,821 to Schmidt describes the use of synthetic polymeric meshes to form linings to repair defects in the urinary tract. Epithelial cells were implanted onto the synthetic matrices, which formed a new tubular lining as the matrix degraded. The matrix served a two fold purpose - to retain liquid while the cells replicated, and to hold and guide the cells as they replicated.

In European Patent Application No. 88900726.6 "Chimeric Neomorphogenesis of Organs by Controlled Cellular Implantation Using Artificial Matrices" by Children's Hospital Center Corporation and Massachusetts Institute of Technology, a method of culturing dissociated cells on biocompatible, biodegradable matrices for subsequent implantation into the body was described. This method was designed to overcome a major problem with previous attempts to culture cells to form three dimensional

structures having a diameter of greater than that of skin. Vacanti and Langer recognized that there was a need to have two elements in any matrix used to form organs: adequate structure and surface area to implant a large volume of cells into the body to replace lost function and a matrix formed in a way that allowed adequate diffusion of gases and nutrients throughout the matrix as the cells attached and grew to maintain viability in the absence of vascularization. Once implanted and vascularized, the porosity required for diffusion of the nutrients and gases was no longer critical.

To overcome some of the limitations inherent in the design of the porous structures which support cell growth throughout the matrix solely by diffusion, WO 93/08850 "Prevascularized Polymeric Implants for Organ Transplantation" by Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Children's Medical Center Corporation disclosed implantation of relatively rigid, non-compressible porous matrices which are allowed to become vascularized, then seeded with cells. It was difficult to control the extent of ingrowth of fibrous tissue, however, and to obtain uniform distribution of cells throughout the matrix when they were subsequently injected into the matrix.

Many tissues have now been engineered using these methods, including connective tissue such as bone and cartilage, as well as soft tissue such as hepatocytes, intestine, endothelium, and specific structures, such as ureters. There remains a need to improve the characteristic mechanical and physical properties of the resulting tissues, which in some cases does not possess the requisite strength and pliability to perform its necessary function *in vivo*. Examples of particular structures include heart valves and blood vessels.

Despite major advances in its treatment over the past thirty-five years, valvular heart disease is still a major cause of morbidity and mortality in the United States. Each year 10,000 Americans die as a direct result of this problem. Valve replacement is the state-of-the art therapy for end-stage

valve disease. Heart valve replacement with either nonliving xenografts or mechanical prostheses is an effective therapy for valvular heart disease. However, both types of heart valve replacements have limitations, including finite durability, foreign body reaction or rejection and the inability of the non-living structures to grow, repair and remodel, as well as the necessity of life-long anticoagulation for the mechanical prosthesis. The construction of a tissue engineered living heart valve could eliminate these problems.

Atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease are also major causes of morbidity and mortality. More than 925,000 Americans died from heart and blood vessels disease in 1992, and an estimated 468,000 coronary artery bypass surgeries were performed on 393,000 patients. This does not include bypass procedures for peripheral vascular disease. Currently, internal mammary and saphenous vein grafts are the most frequently used native grafts for coronary bypass surgery. However, with triple and quadruple bypasses and often the need for repeat bypass procedures, sufficient native vein grafts can be a problem. Surgeons must frequently look for vessels other than the internal mammary and saphenous vessels. While large diameter (0.5 mm internal diameter) vascular grafts of dacron or polytetrafluorethylene (PTFE) have been successful, small caliber synthetic vascular grafts frequently do not remain patent over time.

Tissue engineered blood vessels may offer a substitute for small caliber vessels for bypass surgery and replacement of diseased vessels.

It is therefore an object of the present invention to provide a method for making tissue engineered constructs which have improved mechanical strength and flexibility.

It is a further object of the present invention to provide a method and materials for making valves and vessels which can withstand repeated stress and strain.

It is another object of the present invention to provide a method improving yields of engineered tissues following implantation.

Summary of the Invention

It has been discovered that improved yields of engineered tissue following implantation, and engineered tissue having enhanced mechanical strength and flexibility or pliability, can be obtained by implantation, preferably subcutaneously, of a fibrous polymeric matrix for a period of time sufficient to obtain ingrowth of fibrous tissue and/or blood vessels, which is the removed for subsequent implantation at the site where the implant is desired. The matrix is optionally seeded prior to the first implantation, after ingrowth of the fibrous tissue, or at the time of reimplantation. The time required for fibrous ingrowth typically ranges from days to weeks. The method is particularly useful in making valves and tubular structures, especially heart valves and blood vessels.

Examples demonstrate construction of blood vessels, heart valves and bone and cartilage composite structures.

Detailed Description of the Invention

As described herein, structures are created by seeding of fibrous or porous polymeric matrices with dissociated cells which are useful for a variety of applications, ranging from soft tissues formed of parenchymal cells such as hepatocytes, to tissues having structural elements such as heart valves and blood vessels, to cartilage and bone. In a particular improvement over the prior art methods, the polymeric matrices are implanted into a human or animal to allow ingrowth of fibroblastic tissue, then implanted at the site

where the structure is needed, either alone or seeded with defined cell populations.

I. Matrix Fabrication:

The synthetic matrix serves several purposes. It functions as a cell delivery system that enables the organized transplantation of large numbers of cells into the body. The matrix acts as a scaffold providing three-dimensional space for cell growth. The matrix functions as a template providing structural cues for tissue development. In the case of tissues have specific requirements for structure and mechanical strength, the polymer temporarily provides the biomechanical properties of the final construct, giving the cells time to lay down their own extracellular matrix which ultimately is responsible for the biomechanical profile of the construct. The scaffold also determines the limits of tissue growth and thereby determines the ultimate shape of tissue engineered construct. Cells implanted on a matrix proliferate only to the edges of the matrix; not beyond.

Matrix Architecture

As previously described, for a tissue to be constructed, successfully implanted, and function, the matrices must have sufficient surface area and exposure to nutrients such that cellular growth and differentiation can occur prior to the ingrowth of blood vessels following implantation. This is not a limiting feature where the matrix is implanted and ingrowth of tissue from the body occurs, prior to seeding of the matrix with dissociated cells.

The organization of the tissue may be regulated by the microstructure of the matrix. Specific pore sizes and structures may be utilized to control the pattern and extent of fibrovascular tissue ingrowth from the host, as well as the organization of the implanted cells. The surface geometry and chemistry of the matrix may be regulated to control the adhesion, organization, and function of implanted cells or host cells.

In the preferred embodiment, the matrix is formed of polymers having a fibrous structure which has sufficient interstitial spacing to allow for free diffusion of nutrients and gases to cells attached to the matrix surface. This spacing is typically in the range of 100 to 300 microns, although closer spacings can be used if the matrix is implanted, blood vessels allowed to infiltrate the matrix, then the cells are seeded into the matrix. As used herein, "fibrous" includes one or more fibers that is entwined with itself, multiple fibers in a woven or non-woven mesh, and sponge like devices.

The matrix should be a pliable, non-toxic, injectable porous template for vascular ingrowth. The pores should allow vascular ingrowth and the injection of cells in a desired density and region(s) of the matrix without damage to the cells. These are generally interconnected pores in the range of between approximately 100 and 300 microns. The matrix should be shaped to maximize surface area, to allow adequate diffusion of nutrients and growth factors to the cells and to allow the ingrowth of new blood vessels and connective tissue.

The overall, or external, matrix configuration is dependent on the tissue which is to reconstructed or augmented. The shape can also be obtained using struts, as described below, to impart resistance to mechanical forces and thereby yield the desired shape. Examples include heart valve "leaflets" and tubes.

Polymers

The term "bioerodible", or "biodegradable", as used herein refers to materials which are enzymatically or chemically degraded *in vivo* into simpler chemical species. Either natural or synthetic polymers can be used to form the matrix, although synthetic biodegradable polymers are preferred for reproducibility and controlled release kinetics. Synthetic polymers that can be used include bioerodible polymers such as poly(lactide) (PLA), poly(glycolic acid) (PGA), poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA),

poly(caprolactone), polycarbonates, polyamides, polyanhydrides, polyamino acids, polyortho esters, polyacetals, polycyanoacrylates and degradable polyurethanes, and non-erodible polymers such as polyacrylates, ethylene-vinyl acetate polymers and other acyl substituted cellulose acetates and derivatives thereof, non-erodible polyurethanes, polystyrenes, polyvinyl chloride, polyvinyl fluoride, poly(vinyl imidazole), chlorosulphonated polyolifins, polyethylene oxide, polyvinyl alcohol, teflon®, and nylon. Although non-degradable materials can be used to form the matrix or a portion of the matrix, they are not preferred. The preferred non-degradable material for implantation of a matrix which is prevascularized prior to implantation of dissociated cells is a polyvinyl alcohol sponge, or alkylation, and acylation derivatives thereof, including esters. A non-absorbable polyvinyl alcohol sponge is available commercially as Ivalon™, from Unipoint Industries. Methods for making this material are described in U.S. Patent Nos. 2,609,347 to Wilson; 2,653,917 to Hammon, 2,659,935 to Hammon, 2,664,366 to Wilson, 2,664,367 to Wilson, and 2,846,407 to Wilson, the teachings of which are incorporated by reference herein. These materials are all commercially available.

Examples of natural polymers include proteins such as albumin, collagen, synthetic polyamino acids, and prolamines, and polysaccharides such as alginate, heparin, and other naturally occurring biodegradable polymers of sugar units. These are not preferred because of difficulty with quality control and lack of reproducible, defined degradation characteristics.

PLA, PGA and PLA/PGA copolymers are particularly useful for forming the biodegradable matrices. These are synthetic, biodegradable α -hydroxy acids with a long history of medical use. PLA polymers are usually prepared from the cyclic esters of lactic acids. Both L(+) and D(-) forms of lactic acid can be used to prepare the PLA polymers, as well as the optically inactive DL-lactic acid mixture of D(-) and L(+) lactic acids. Methods of

preparing polylactides are well documented in the patent literature. The following U.S. Patents, the teachings of which are hereby incorporated by reference, describe in detail suitable polylactides, their properties and their preparation: 1,995,970 to Dorough; 2,703,316 to Schneider; 2,758,987 to Salzberg; 2,951,828 to Zeile; 2,676,945 to Higgins; and 2,683,136; 3,531,561 to Trehu.

PGA is the homopolymer of glycolic acid (hydroxyacetic acid). In the conversion of glycolic acid to poly(glycolic acid), glycolic acid is initially reacted with itself to form the cyclic ester glycolide, which in the presence of heat and a catalyst is converted to a high molecular weight linear-chain polymer. PGA polymers and their properties are described in more detail in "Cyanamid Research Develops World's First Synthetic Absorbable Suture", Chemistry and Industry, 905 (1970).

The erosion of the matrix is related to the molecular weights of the polymer, for example, PLA, PGA or PLA/PGA. The higher molecular weights, weight average molecular weights of 90,000 or higher, result in polymer matrices which retain their structural integrity for longer periods of time; while lower molecular weights, weight average molecular weights of 30,000 or less, result in both slower release and shorter matrix lives. A preferred material is poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (50:50), which degrades in about six weeks following implantation (between one and two months) and poly(glycolic acid).

All polymers for use in the matrix must meet the mechanical and biochemical parameters necessary to provide adequate support for the cells with subsequent growth and proliferation. The polymers can be characterized with respect to mechanical properties such as tensile strength using an Instron tester, for polymer molecular weight by gel permeation chromatography (GPC), glass transition temperature by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and bond structure by infrared (IR) spectroscopy, with

respect to toxicology by initial screening tests involving Ames assays and *in vitro* teratogenicity assays, and implantation studies in animals for immunogenicity, inflammation, release and degradation studies.

Polymer Coatings

In some embodiments, attachment of the cells to the polymer is enhanced by coating the polymers with compounds such as basement membrane components, agar, agarose, gelatin, gum arabic, collagens types I, II, III, IV, and V, fibronectin, laminin, glycosaminoglycans, polyvinyl alcohol, mixtures thereof, and other hydrophilic and peptide attachment materials known to those skilled in the art of cell culture. A preferred material for coating the polymeric matrix is polyvinyl alcohol or collagen.

Struts

In some embodiments it may be desirable to create additional structure using devices provided for support, referred to herein as "struts". These can be biodegradable or non-degradable polymers which are inserted to form a more defined shape than is obtained using the cell-matrices. An analogy can be made to a corset, with the struts acting as "stays" to push the surrounding tissue and skin up and away from the implanted cells. In a preferred embodiment, the struts are implanted prior to or at the time of implantation of the cell-matrix structure. The struts are formed of a polymeric material of the same type as can be used to form the matrix, as listed above, having sufficient strength to resist the necessary mechanical forces.

Additives to Polymer Matrices

In some embodiments it may be desirable to add bioactive molecules to the cells. A variety of bioactive molecules can be delivered using the matrices described herein. These are referred to generically herein as "factors" or "bioactive factors".

In the preferred embodiment, the bioactive factors are growth factors, angiogenic factors, compounds selectively inhibiting ingrowth of fibroblast

tissue such as antiinflammatories, and compounds selectively inhibiting growth and proliferation of transformed (cancerous) cells. These factors may be utilized to control the growth and function of implanted cells, the ingrowth of blood vessels into the forming tissue, and/or the deposition and organization of fibrous tissue around the implant.

Examples of growth factors include heparin binding growth factor (hbgf), transforming growth factor alpha or beta (TGF β), alpha fibroblastic growth factor (FGF), epidermal growth factor (TGF), vascular endothelium growth factor (VEGF), some of which are also angiogenic factors. Other factors include hormones such as insulin, glucagon, and estrogen. In some embodiments it may be desirable to incorporate factors such as nerve growth factor (NGF) or muscle morphogenic factor (MMP).

Steroidal antiinflammatories can be used to decrease inflammation to the implanted matrix, thereby decreasing the amount of fibroblast tissue growing into the matrix.

These factors are known to those skilled in the art and are available commercially or described in the literature. *In vivo* dosages are calculated based on *in vitro* release studies in cell culture; an effective dosage is that dosage which increases cell proliferation or survival as compared with controls, as described in more detail in the following examples. Preferably, the bioactive factors are incorporated to between one and 30% by weight, although the factors can be incorporated to a weight percentage between 0.01 and 95 weight percentage.

Bioactive molecules can be incorporated into the matrix and released over time by diffusion and/or degradation of the matrix, they can be suspended with the cell suspension, they can be incorporated into microspheres which are suspended with the cells or attached to or incorporated within the matrix, or some combination thereof. Microspheres would typically be formed of materials similar to those forming the matrix,

selected for their release properties rather than structural properties. Release properties can also be determined by the size and physical characteristics of the microspheres.

II. Cells to Be Implanted

Cells to be implanted are dissociated using standard techniques such as digestion with a collagenase, trypsin or other protease solution. Preferred cell types are mesenchymal cells, especially smooth or skeletal muscle cells, myocytes (muscle stem cells), fibroblasts, chondrocytes, adipocytes, fibromyoblasts, and ectodermal cells, including ductile and skin cells, hepatocytes, Islet cells, cells present in the intestine, and other parenchymal cells, osteoblasts and other cells forming bone or cartilage. In some cases it may also be desirable to include nerve cells. Cells can be normal or genetically engineered to provide additional or normal function. Methods for genetically engineering cells with retroviral vectors, polyethylene glycol, or other methods known to those skilled in the art can be used.

Cells are preferably autologous cells, obtained by biopsy and expanded in culture, although cells from close relatives or other donors of the same species may be used with appropriate immunosuppression. Immunologically inert cells, such as embryonic or fetal cells, stem cells, and cells genetically engineered to avoid the need for immunosuppression can also be used. Methods and drugs for immunosuppression are known to those skilled in the art of transplantation. A preferred compound is cyclosporin using the recommended dosages.

In the preferred embodiment, cells are obtained by biopsy and expanded in culture for subsequent implantation. Cells can be easily obtained through a biopsy anywhere in the body, for example, skeletal muscle biopsies can be obtained easily from the arm, forearm, or lower extremities, and smooth muscle can be obtained from the area adjacent to the subcutaneous tissue throughout the body. To obtain either type of muscle, the area to be

biopsied can be locally anesthetized with a small amount of lidocaine injected subcutaneously. Alternatively, a small patch of lidocaine jelly can be applied over the area to be biopsied and left in place for a period of 5 to 20 minutes, prior to obtaining biopsy specimen. The biopsy can be effortlessly obtained with the use of a biopsy needle, a rapid action needle which makes the procedure extremely simple and almost painless. With the addition of the anesthetic agent, the procedure would be entirely painless. This small biopsy core of either skeletal or smooth muscle can then be transferred to media consisting of phosphate buffered saline. The biopsy specimen is then transferred to the lab where the muscle can be grown utilizing the explant technique, wherein the muscle is divided into very pieces which are adhered to culture plate, and serum containing media is added. Alternatively, the muscle biopsy can be enzymatically digested with agents such as trypsin and the cells dispersed in a culture plate with any of the routinely used medias. After cell expansion within the culture plate, the cells can be easily passaged utilizing the usual technique until an adequate number of cells is achieved.

III. Methods for Implantation

Unlike other prior art methods for making implantable matrices, the present method uses the recipient or an animal as the initial bioreactor to form a fibrous tissue-polymeric construct which optionally can be seeded with other cells and implanted. The matrix becomes infiltrated with fibrous tissue and/or blood vessels over a period ranging from between one day and a few weeks, most preferably one and two weeks. The matrix is then removed and implanted at the site where it is needed.

In one embodiment, the matrix is formed of polymer fibers having a particular desired shape, that is implanted subcutaneously. The implant is retrieved surgically, then one or more defined cell types distributed onto and into the fibers. In a second embodiment, the matrix is seeded with cells of a defined type, implanted until fibrous tissue has grown into the matrix, then

the matrix removed, optionally cultured further *in vitro*, then reimplanted at a desired site.

The resulting structures are dictated by the matrix construction, including architecture, porosity (% void volume and pore diameter), polymer nature including composition, crystallinity, molecular weight, and degradability, hydrophobicity, and the inclusion of other biologically active molecules.

This methodology is particularly well suited for the construction of valves and tubular structures. Examples of valves are heart valves and valves of the type used for ventricular shunts for treatment of hydrocephaly. A similar structure could be used for an ascites shunt in the abdomen where needed due to liver disease or in the case of a lymphatic obstructive disease. Examples of tubular structures include blood vessels, intestine, ureters, and fallopian tubes.

The structures are formed at a site other than where they are ultimately required. This is particularly important in the case of tubular structures and valves, where integrity to fluid is essential, and where the structure is subjected to repeated stress and strain.

The present invention will be further understood by reference to the following non-limiting examples.

Example 1: Tissue Engineering of Heart Valves.

Valvular heart disease is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality. Construction of a tissue engineered valve using living autologous cells offers advantages over currently used mechanical or glutaraldehyde fixed xenograft valves.

Methods and Materials:

A tissue engineered valve was constructed by seeding a synthetic polyglycolic acid (PGA) fiber based matrix with dissociated fibroblasts and endothelial cells harvested from a donor sheep heart valve. The cells were

grown to confluence and split several times to increase the cell number. A mixed cell population including myofibroblasts and endothelial cells was obtained. The endothelial cells were labeled with an Ac-Dil-LDL fluorescent antibody obtained from a commercial source and sorted in a cell-sorting machine to yield a nearly pure endothelial cell population (LDL+) and a mixed cell population containing myofibroblasts and endothelial cells (LDL-). A PGA mesh (density 76.9 mg/ml and thickness 0.68 mm) was seeded with the mixed cell population and grown in culture. When the myofibroblasts reached confluence, endothelial cells were seeded onto the surface of the fibroblast/mesh constructs and grown into a single monolayer.

Immunohistochemical evaluation of constructs with antibodies against factor VIII, a specific marker for endothelial cells, revealed that tissue engineered valves histologically resemble native valve tissue. The effects of physiological flow on elastin and collagen production within the ECM were examined in a bioreactor and implanted in a sheep to determine if the constructs had the required pliability and mechanical strength for use in patients.

Example 2: Tissue Engineering of Vascular Structures.

Vascular smooth muscle tubular structures using a biodegradable polyglycolic acid polymer scaffold have been developed. The technique involves the isolation and culture of vascular smooth muscle cells, the reconstruction of a vascular wall using biodegradable polymer, and formation of the neo-tissue tubes *in vitro*. The feasibility of engineering vascular structures by coculturing endothelial cells with fibroblasts and smooth muscle cells on a synthetic biodegradable matrix in order to create tubular constructs which histologically resemble native vascular structures was also demonstrated.

Methods:

In a first set of studies, bovine and ovine endothelial cells, smooth muscle cells, and fibroblasts were isolated using a combination of standard techniques including collagenase digestion and explantation. These cells were then expanded in tissue culture. All cells were grown in Delbecco's modified Eagle's media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% antibiotic solution, and basic fibroblast growth factor. Mixed colonies were purified using dilutional cloning. Thirty (N=30) two by two centimeter polyglycolic acid (PGA) fiber meshes (thickness = 0.68 mm, density = 76.9 mg/cc) were then serially seeded with 5×10^5 fibroblasts and smooth muscle cells and placed in culture. Five (N=5) 85% PGA, 15% polylactic acid tubular constructs (length = 2 cm, diameter = 0.8 cm) were seeded in a similar fashion. After the fibroblasts and smooth muscle cell constructs had grown to confluence (mean time 3 weeks), 1×10^6 endothelial cells were seeded onto them and they were placed in culture for one week. These vascular constructs were then fixed in a paraffin, sectioned and analyzed using immunohistochemical staining for factor VIII (specific for endothelial cells) and desmin (specific for muscle cells).

In a second set of studies, smooth muscle cells were obtained by harvesting the media from the artery of a lamb using standard explant techniques. Cells were expanded in culture through repeated passages and then seeded on the biodegradable polymer scaffold at a density of 1×10^6 cells per cm^2 of polymer. The cell-polymer constructs were formed into tubes with internal diameters ranging from 2 mm to 5 mm and maintained *in vitro* for 6 to 8 weeks.

Results:

Microscopic examination of all constructs in the first study (N=30/N=5) revealed that both types of constructs had achieved the proper histological architecture and resembled native vessels after one week.

Immunohistochemical staining confirmed that endothelially lined smooth muscle/fibroblast tubes had been created. The extracellular matrices (ECM) of the vascular constructs were examined in order to determine the composition of elastin and collagen types I and III, the ECM molecules which determine the physical characteristics of native vascular tissues.

The results of the second study show that vascular smooth muscle tubes which retain their structure can be successfully formed using a polyglycolic acid polymer scaffold. The biodegradable polymer was absorbed over time, leaving a neo-tissue vascular smooth muscle tube.

Example 3: Engineered bone from PGA Polymer Scaffold and Periosteum.

The ability to create bone from periosteum and biodegradable polymer may have significant utility in reconstructive orthopedic and plastic surgery. Polyglycolic acid (PGA) is a preferred material for forming a biodegradable matrix which can be configured to a desirable shape and structure. This study was conducted to determine whether new bone constructs can be formed from periosteum or periosteal cells placed onto PGA polymer.

Materials and Methods:

Bovine periosteum, harvested from fresh calf limbs, was placed either directly onto PGA polymer (1 x1 cm) or onto tissue culture dishes for periosteal cell isolation. The periosteum/PGA construct was cultured for one week in MEM 199 culture media with antibiotics and ascorbic acid, then implanted into the dorsal subcutaneous space of nude mice. Periosteal cell, cultured from pieces of periosteum for two weeks, were isolated into cell suspension and seeded (approximately 1 to 3×10^7 cells) onto PGA polymer (1 x 1 cm); after one week in culture, the periosteal cell seeded polymer was implanted into the subcutaneous space of nude mice. Specimens, harvested at 4, 8, and 14 week intervals, were evaluated grossly and histologically.

Results:

The periosteum/PGA constructs showed an organized cartilage matrix with early evidence of bone formation at four weeks, a mixture of bone and cartilage at 8 weeks, and a complete bone matrix at 14 weeks. Constructs created from periosteal cells seeded onto polymer showed presence of disorganized cartilage at 4 and 8 weeks, and a mixture of bone and cartilage at 14 weeks. Periosteum placed directly onto polymer will form an organized cartilage and bone matrix earlier than constructs formed from periosteal cell seeded polymer. This data indicates that PGA is an effective scaffold for periosteal cell attachment and migration to produce bone, which may offer new approaches to reconstructive surgery.

Example 4: Bone Reconstruction with Tissue Engineered Vascularized Bone.

The aim of this study was to determine if new vascularized bone could be engineered by transplantation of osteoblast around existing vascular pedicle using biodegradable polymers as cell delivery devices, to be used to reconstruct weight bearing bony defects.

Methods:

Osteoblast and chondrocytes were isolated from calf periosteum and articular cartilage, cultured *in vitro* for three weeks, then seeded onto a 1 x 1 cm non-woven polyglycolic acid (PGA) mesh. After maintenance *in vitro* for one week, cell-polymer constructs were wrapped around saphenous vessels, and implanted into athymic rats for 8 weeks. The implants showed gross and histological evidence of vascularized bone or cartilage. At this time, bilateral 0.8 cm femoral shaft defect were created in the same rat, and fixed in position with a 3 cm craniofacial titanium miniplate. The new engineered bone/cartilage construct was then transferred to the femoral defect on its bilateral vascular pedicle. A total of 30 femoral defects were repaired in three groups of animals (each group composed of five animals with defects).

Animals in Group 1 received implants composed of vascularized bone constructs, animals in Group 2 with vascular cartilage constructs, and Group 3 animals with blank polymer only.

At six months after surgery, the animals were studied radiographically for evidence of new bone formation at the site of the defect. Euthanasia was then performed by anesthetic overdose and each experimented femur was removed. Gross appearance was recorded and histological studies performed using hematoxylin and eosin (H & E) staining.

Results:

Group 1 defect showed evidence of new bone formation around the defect. Neither Group 2 nor Group 3 defect showed any radiographic evidence of healing or bone formation. Grossly, Group 1 animals developed exuberant bony callus formation and healing of the defect. The animals in Group 2 showed filling of the bony defect with cartilaginous tissue, whereas all of the animals in Group 3 either developed a fibrous non-union or simple separation of both bony fragments with soft tissue invasion of the defect. The histological studies showed new bone formation in all Group 1 animals, new cartilage formation in all Group 2 animals, and fibrous tissue invasion in all Group 3 animals.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, it was possible to engineer vascularized bone and cartilage grafts, which could be used to repair bone defects in the rat femur. Engineered tissue maintained the characteristics of the tissues from which the cells were originally isolated.

Example 5: Engineering of Composite Bone and Cartilage.

The ability to construct a composite structure of bone and cartilage offers a significant modality in reconstructive plastic and orthopedic surgery. The following study was conducted to engineer a bone and cartilage composite structure using periosteum, chondrocytes and biodegradable

polymer and to direct bone and cartilage formation by selectively placing periosteum and chondrocytes onto the polymer scaffold.

Methods and materials:

Bovine periosteum and cartilage were harvested from newborn calf limbs. Periosteum (1.5 x 2.0 cm) was wrapped around a polyglycolic acid/poly L-lactic acid co-polymer tube (3 cm in length, 3 mm in diameter), leaving the ends exposed. The cartilage pieces were enzymatically digested with collagenase, and chondrocytes (2×10^7 cells) were seeded onto each end of the exposed polymer. The composite construct was cultured for seven days in Medium 199 with antibiotics, fetal bovine serum, and ascorbic acid at 37°C with 5% CO_2 . Eight constructs were then implanted into the dorsal subcutaneous space of eight nude mice. After 8 to 14 weeks *in vivo*, the implants were harvested and evaluated grossly and histologically.

Results.

All implants formed into cylindrical shapes, flattened at the ends. The central portion of the implant formed into a bony matrix and the ends of the specimens formed into cartilage, approximately where the periosteum and chondrocytes were placed. Histological sections showed an organized matrix of bone and cartilage with a distinct transition between bone and cartilage.

Conclusions.

The results show that periosteum and chondrocytes placed onto a biodegradable polymer will form into a composite tissue of bone and cartilage. Moreover, bone and cartilage composite formation with selective placement of periosteum and chondrocytes on a biodegradable polymer scaffold was shown.

Example 6: Implantation of Matrix for Ingrowth of Fibrous Tissue to Increase Mechanical Properties and Cell Survival.

The following study was conducted to increase the mechanical strength and pliability of the heart valve leaflets or other engineered tissues such as those for use as blood vessels.

Methods:

A PGA mesh as described in Example 1 or 2 was implanted subcutaneously in an animal, then removed after a period of one to two weeks. Fibroblasts migrated into the polymeric mesh while it was implanted. The implant was then seeded with other cells such as chondrocytes or endothelial cells and cultured *in vitro* for an additional period of time.

Results:

The resulting implant was shown to have greater mechanical strength and pliability than implants formed solely by seeding of dissociated cells.

Modifications and variations of the method and compositions described herein will be obvious to those skilled in the art from the foregoing detailed description. Such modifications and variations are intended to come within the scope of the appended claims.

We claim:

1. A synthetic polymeric cell-matrix structure comprising a fibrous matrix having sufficient interstitial spacing between fibers to allow tissue ingrowth after implantation of the matrix in an animal, wherein the matrix is formed of a biocompatible, biodegradable synthetic polymer, and
fibrous tissue or blood vessels growing into the matrix.
2. The cell-matrix structure of claim 1 formed into a tube.
3. The cell-matrix structure of claim 1 formed into a valve.
4. The cell-matrix structure of claim 3 formed into a heart valve.
5. The cell-matrix structure of claim 1 further comprising dissociated parenchymal or connective tissue cells.
6. A method for making a cell-matrix construct comprising implanting into an animal at a first site a fibrous matrix having sufficient interstitial spacing between fibers to allow tissue ingrowth after implantation of the matrix, wherein the matrix is formed of a biocompatible, biodegradable synthetic polymer,
removing the implanted matrix after a period of time sufficient to allow ingrowth of fibrous tissue or blood vessels into the matrix, and
implanting into an animal or human the matrix at a second site where the resulting cell-construct is needed.
7. The method of claim 6 further comprising seeding the matrix with dissociated parenchymal or connective tissue cells.
8. The method of claim 7 wherein the matrix is seeded after removal of the matrix having ingrown tissue or blood vessels.
9. The method of claim 7 wherein the matrix is seeded prior to implantation of the fibrous matrix at the first site.
10. The method of claim 6 wherein the cell-matrix construct is implanted to form a valve.

11. The method of claim 10 wherein the valve is a heart valve.
12. The method of claim 6 wherein the cell-matrix construct is seeded with endothelial cells and implanted to form a blood vessel.
13. A porous polymeric matrix seeded with dissociated cells to form a heart valve.
14. The matrix of claim 13 formed of a polymer selected from the group consisting of poly(lactic acid), poly(glycolic acid), and combinations thereof.
15. The matrix of claim 14 formed of polymer fibers having an interstitial spacing of between 100 and 300 microns.
16. The matrix of claim 14 seeded with dissociated cells selected from the group consisting of fibroblasts, myofibroblasts, and endothelial cells.

ENGINEERING OF STRONG, PLIABLE TISSUES

Abstract of the Invention

It has been discovered that improved yields of engineered tissue following implantation, and engineered tissue having enhanced mechanical strength and flexibility or pliability, can be obtained by implantation, preferably subcutaneously, of a fibrous polymeric matrix for a period of time sufficient to obtain ingrowth of fibrous tissue and/or blood vessels, which is then removed for subsequent implantation at the site where the implant is desired. The matrix is optionally seeded prior to the first implantation, after ingrowth of the fibrous tissue, or at the time of reimplantation. The time required for fibrous ingrowth typically ranges from days to weeks. The method is particularly useful in making valves and tubular structures, especially heart valves and blood vessels.

Applicant or Patentee: Joseph P. Vacanti, et al.
Attorney's
Docket No.:
CMCC450

Serial or Patent No.: 08/445,280

Filed or Issued: May 19, 1995

For: ENGINEERING OF STRONG, PLIABLE TISSUES

VERIFIED STATEMENT (DECLARATION) CLAIMING SMALL ENTITY STATUS
(37 CFR 1.9(f) and 1.27(d)) - NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION

I hereby declare that I am an official empowered to act
on behalf of the nonprofit organization identified below:

NAME OF ORGANIZATION: Children's Medical Center
Corporation
ADDRESS OF ORGANIZATION: 55 Shattuck Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02115

TYPE OF ORGANIZATION

- ☐ UNIVERSITY OR OTHER INSTITUTION OF HIGHER
EDUCATION
- ☒ TAX EXEMPT UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CODE
(26 USC 501(a) and 501(c)(3))
- ☐ NONPROFIT SCIENTIFIC OR EDUCATIONAL UNDER STATUTE
OF STATE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
- ☐ WOULD QUALIFY AS TAX EXEMPT UNDER INTERNAL REVENUE
SERVICE CODE (26 USC 501(a) and 501(c)(3) IF
LOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
- ☐ WOULD QUALIFY AS NONPROFIT SCIENTIFIC OR
EDUCATIONAL UNDER STATUTE OF STATE OF THE UNITED
STATES OF AMERICA IF LOCATED IN THE UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA

I hereby declare that the nonprofit organization
identified above qualifies as a nonprofit organization as defined
in 37 CFR 1.9(e) for purposes of paying reduced fees under
section 41(a) and (b) of Title 35, United States Code with regard
to the invention entitled "Engineering of Strong, Pliable

"Engineering of Strong, Pliable Tissues"
Filed: May 19, 1995
By: Joseph P. Vacanti, et al.
VERIFIED STATEMENT CLAIMING SMALL ENTITY STATUS

Tissues" by inventors Joseph P. Vacanti, Christopher K. Breuer,
Beverly E. Chaignaud, and Toshiraru Shin'oka described in

☐ the specification filed herewith

☒ application serial no. 08/445,280, filed May 19,
1995

☐ patent no. _____ issued _____

I hereby declare that rights under contract or law have
been conveyed to and remain with the nonprofit organization with
regard to the above-identified invention.

If the rights held by the nonprofit organization are
not exclusive, each individual, concern or organization having
rights to the invention is listed below* and no rights to the
invention are held by any person, other than the inventor, who
could not qualify as an independent inventor under 37 CFR 1.9(c)
or by any concern which would not qualify as a small business
concern under 37 CFR 1.9(d) or a nonprofit organization under 37
CFR 1.9(e).

***NOTE:** Separate verified statements are required from each named
person, concern or organization having rights to the invention
averring to their status as small entities. (37 CFR 1.27)

NAME:

ADDRESS:

☐ INDIVIDUAL ☐ SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN ☐ NONPROFIT ORG

"Engineering of Strong, Pliable Tissues"
Filed: May 19, 1995
By: Joseph P. Vacanti, et al.
VERIFIED STATEMENT CLAIMING SMALL ENTITY STATUS

I acknowledge the duty to file, in this application or patent, notification of any change in status resulting in loss of entitlement to small entity status prior to paying, or at the time of paying, the earliest of the issue fee or any maintenance fee due after the date on which status as a small entity is no longer appropriate. (37 CFR 1.28(b))


I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this verified statement is directed.

NAME OF PERSON SIGNING:

TITLE IN ORGANIZATION:

ADDRESS OF PERSON SIGNING:

WILLIAM NEW
DIRECTOR, RESEARCH AFFAIRS
Children's Hospital
300 Longwood Avenue
Boston, MA 02115



SIGNATURE

July 18, 1995

DATE

DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below), or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

ENGINEERING OF STRONG, PLIABLE TISSUES

the specification of which (check one)

_____ is attached hereto

 X was filed on May 19, 1995
as application Serial No. _____

_____ and was amended on: _____
(if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, § 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.

Prior Foreign Application(s)			Priority Claimed
_____	_____	_____	_____
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	
_____	_____	_____	_____
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	
_____	_____	_____	_____
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of the application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

_____	_____	_____
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	Status (patented, pending, abandoned)
_____	_____	_____
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	Status (patented, pending, abandoned)

As named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Patrea L. Pabst	31,284
Madeline I. Johnston	36,174
Robert A. Millman	36,217

Send Correspondence to: Patrea L. Pabst, Esq.
ARNALL GOLDEN & GREGORY
2800 One Atlantic Center
1201 W. Peachtree Street
Atlanta, GA 30309-3450

Direct telephone calls to: Patrea L. Pabst (404)873-8794

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full name of sole or first inventor Joseph P. Vacanti

Inventor's signature _____ Date _____

Residence 14 Woodside Road, Winchester, Massachusetts 01890

Citizenship United States

Post Office Address Same as above

Full name of second joint inventor (if any) Christopher K. Breuer

Inventor's signature _____ Date _____

Residence 310 Market Street, Brighton, Massachusetts 02135

Citizenship United States

Post Office Address Same as above

Full name of third joint inventor (if any) Beverly E. Chaignaud

Inventor's signature _____ Date _____

Residence 1443 Beacon Street, Apt. 511, Brookline, Massachusetts 02146

Citizenship United States

Post Office Address Same as above

Full name of fourth joint inventor (if any) Toshiraru Shin'oka

Inventor's signature _____ Date _____

Residence 4 Lincoln Road, Brookline, Massachusetts 02146

Citizenship Japan

Post Office Address Same as above